

Appendix 2 - Summary of responses from key stakeholders and preceptors

Question	Law Centre	Labour Group	County	GCC Comment
<p>Do you think the council should use information passed to it by the DWP to determine awards of LCTS without the requirement for a separate claim form to be completed where the communication from the DWP contains all of the required information to determine whether an award should be made?</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	
<p>Do you agree that the same applicable amount should be used in the assessment of LCTS whenever a household has had a determination of a claim for Universal Credit?</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	<p>We think this is probably acceptable</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	

<p>Do you agree the council should not use data provided by the DWP in the assessment of LCTS where evidence is held which indicates that information is incorrect or there is a suspicion of fraudulent activity?</p>	<p>Agree with this proposal</p>	<p>We are not sure about this. Certainly, any decision to follow this procedure should be made as transparent as possible and residents affected have a clear explanation as to what has happened and why, and given the opportunity to respond and query the evidence relied on</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	
<p>Do you agree that all non-dependant adults should be considered as part of the household for purposes of calculating a non-dependant deduction?</p>	<p>Unsure. Fear that households will be disadvantaged by this change</p>	<p>We would need further information on the effect of this proposal as it is unclear to us what the effect might be</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	<p>The level of deduction would equate to approximately one-seventh of the rent charge for a single room in a shared property</p> <p>Households letting rooms in their own homes would continue to be better off from doing so and have incomes above the minimum income</p>

<p>Do you agree that the level of non-dependant deduction applied should be calculated on the level of earnings and not be affected by the number of hours worked for working age LCTS claims?</p>	<p>Agree that level of deductions should be based on the wages received not the hours worked</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	
<p>Do you agree that the level of non-dependant deduction should be changed to the amounts in the table above?</p>	<p>No believe they should remain the same. This view is based on the inability of some householders to collect the money from the non-dependant</p>	<p>We have concerns about this and would like further explanation and examples, as it will obviously make some residents worse off than they are now</p>	<p>Agree with proposal to simplify the scheme</p>	<p>This proposal has been amended in line with consultation comments</p>
<p>Do you agree that Second Adult Rebate should be removed from the scheme?</p>	<p>Unsure. Some households do rely on this support</p>	<p>Yes probably – it doesn't seem that this is widely used</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	<p>If the liable tax payer receives a low income they will be eligible for support through the LCTS scheme.</p>
<p>Do you agree that Extended Payment Periods should be removed from the scheme?</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	<p>Agree with proposal</p>	